Botanical Source

Synonyms HYDROXY-2,5-DIMETHYL-3(2H)-FURANONE (4-);

FURANEOL;

DIMETHYL-4-HYDROXY-2,3-DIHYDROFURAN-3-ONE(2,5-);

HYDROXY-2,5-DIMETHYL-2H-FURANONE-3 (4-); DIMETHYL-4-HYDROXY-3-FURANONE (2,5-);

DIOXY HEXANONE;

ALLETONE;

PINEAPPLE KETONE; PINEAPPLE FURANONE; STRAWBERRY FURANONE;

DIMETHYL HYDROXY FURANONE (2,3,5[H]-);

FURALON; ENHANSOL;

2,5-DIMETHYL-3-HYDROXY-4-OXO-4,5-DIHYDROFURAN;

2,5-DIMETHYL-4,5-DIHYDROFURAN-3-OL-4-ONE

IUPAC Name 2,5-DIMETHYL-4-HYDROXY-2,3-DIHYDROFURAN-3-ONE

CAS Reference 3658-77-3

E Number

Food Legislation

| Council | Council of Europe (CoE) | | |
|---------|---|--|--|
| Number | r Comment | | |
| 536 | Listed by the Council of Europe as acceptable for use in food at up to 5 ppm. | | |

| US Food and Drug Administration | | |
|---------------------------------|---------|--|
| Number | Comment | |
| - | - | |

| Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives (JECFA) | | | |
|--|------|---|--|
| Number | ADI | Comment | |
| 1446 | 5254 | No safety concern at current levels of intake when used as a flavouring agent | |

| FEMA | | |
|----------|---|--|
| FEMA No. | Comment | |
| 3174 | Generally recognised as safe as a flavour ingredient:GRAS List Number 3 | |

Natural Occurrence and Use in Food

Found in beef, maple syrup, cassia oil; used in frozen dairy goods, baked goods, candy.

| Estimated Intake from Food and Drink | | |
|--|---|--|
| Daily Intake mg/kg/day FEMA Possible Average Daily Intake mg | | |
| - | - | |

<u>Tobacco Product Related Chemical and Biological Studies for Ingredients Added in a Mixture</u>

| Smoke Chemistry | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------|--|--|
| Published Source Level Tested % | | Comment | |
| BAT | 0.00300 | At maximum application level this ingredient is not associated with significant increases in levels of Hoffmann analytes in smoke. | |
| Philip Morris | 0.00010 | An overall assessment of the data suggests that this ingredient did not add to the toxicity of smoke. | |

| Ames Activity | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------|---|--|
| Published Source Level Tested % | | Comment | |
| ВАТ | 0.00300 | Within the sensitivity and specificity of the system the Ames activity of the cigarette smoke condensate was not increased by the addition of the ingredient. | |
| Philip Morris 0.00010 | | Within the sensitivity and specificity of the system the Ames activity of the cigarette smoke was not increased by the addition of the ingredient. | |

| Micronucleus | | |
|---------------------------------|---------|---|
| Published Source Level Tested % | | Comment |
| ВАТ | 0.00300 | Within the sensitivity of the in vitro micronucleus assay the activity of the cigarette smoke condensate was not increased by the addition of the ingredient. |

| Neutral Red | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------|--|--|
| Published Source Level Tested % | | Comment | |
| ВАТ | 0.00300 | Within the sensitivity of the test system the in vitro cytotoxicity of the cigarette smoke condensate was not increased by the addition of the ingredient. | |
| | | Within the sensitivity and specificity of the system the in vitro cytotoxicity of the cigarette smoke was not increased by the addition of the | |

| | ingredient | |
|--|----------------|--|
| | iliai calciit. | |
| | J | |

| Inhalation | | | |
|-----------------------|----------------|--|--|
| Published Source | Level Tested % | Comment | |
| BAT | 0.00300 | The results indicate that the addition of the ingredient had no discernible effect on the inhalation toxicity of mainstream smoke. | |
| Lorillard | 0.00001 | The results indicate that the addition of the ingredient had no discernible effect on the inhalation toxicity of mainstream smoke. | |
| Philip Morris 0.00010 | | The data indicate that the addition of the ingredient, when added with one of three groups, did not increase the inhalation toxicity of the smoke. | |

| Mouse Skin Painting | | | |
|---------------------|----------------|--|--|
| Published Source | Level Tested % | Comment | |
| Lorillard | 0.00001 | None of the changes appeared to be substantial enough to conclude that the tumour promotion capacity of the condensate was discernibly different between condensate produced from cigarettes with the ingredient in comparison with condensate from cigarettes without the ingredient. | |

References

Baker RR, Pereira da Silva JR, Smith G. The effect of tobacco ingredients on smoke chemistry. Part I: Flavourings and additives. Food Chem Toxicol. 2004; 42 Suppl:S3-37.

Baker RR, Pereira da Silva JR, Smith G. The effect of tobacco ingredients on smoke chemistry. Part II: casing ingredients. Food Chem Toxicol. 2004; 42 Suppl:S39-52.

Baker RR, Massey ED, Smith G. An overview of the effects of tobacco ingredients on smoke chemistry and toxicity. Food Chem Toxicol. 2004; 42 Suppl:S53-83.

Carmines EL. Evaluation of the potential effects of ingredients added to cigarettes. Part 1: cigarette design, testing approach, and review of results. Food Chem Toxicol. 2002; 40(1): 77-91.

Rustemeier K, Stabbert R, Haussmann HJ, Roemer E, Carmines EL. Evaluation of the potential effects of ingredients added to cigarettes. Part 2: chemical composition of mainstream smoke. Food Chem Toxicol. 2002; 40(1): 93-104.

Roemer E, Tewes FJ, Meisgen TJ, Veltel DJ, Carmines EL. Evaluation of the potential effects of ingredients added to cigarettes. Part 3: in vitro genotoxicity and cytotoxicity. Food Chem Toxicol. 2002; 40(1): 105-111.

Vanscheeuwijck PM, Teredesai A, Terpstra PM, Verbeeck J, Kuhl P, Gerstenberg B, Gebel S, Carmines EL. Evaluation of the potential effects of ingredients added to cigarettes. Part 4: subchronic inhalation toxicity. Food Chem Toxicol. 2002; 40(1): 113-131.

Gaworski CL, Dozier MM, Heck JD, Gerhart JM, Rajendran N, David RM. Brennecke LH, Morrissey R. Toxicologic evaluation of flavor ingredients added to cigarette tobacco: 13 week inhalation exposures in rats. Inhal. Toxicol. 1998; 10:357-381

Gaworski CL, Heck JD, Bennett MB, Wenk ML. Toxicologic evaluation of flavor ingredients added to cigarette tobacco: skin painting bioassay of cigarette smoke condensate in SENCAR mice. Toxicology. 1999; 139(1-2):1-17.

Tobacco Product Related Chemical and Biological Studies for Ingredients Tested Singly

References

Baker RR, Bishop LJ. The pyrolysis of tobacco ingredients. J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis 2004, 71, 223-311.

Toxicological Data on the Unburnt Ingredient

[+ve, positive; -ve, negative; ?, equivocal; with, with metabolic activation; without, without metabolic activation]

| • | | |
|----|----|----|
| In | V1 | VO |

| In vivo Species | Test conditions | Endpoint | Result | Reference |
|--|--|----------------------|--|-----------------------------|
| Mouse, 5-6 males per dose level | Single intraperitoneal administration at 0, 0.5, 1 or 1.5 g/kg bw, and peripheral lymphocytes were examined for micronuclei at 24, 48 and 72 hr. | Chromosome damage | +ve | Hiramoto et al. 1998 |
| Mouse, 10/group | Intraperitoneal administration of 0, 186, 371 or 928 mg/kg bw. Bone marrow cells examined for micronuclei. Further details of the study are difficult to deduce from this paper in Chinese. | Chromosome damage | +ve study quality cannot be determined | Xing <i>et al.</i> 1988 |
| Mouse | Intraperitoneal administration of 0, 186, 232 or 309 mg HDMF/kg bw. Cells [unclear which] examined for sister chromatid exchanges. Further details of the study are difficult to deduce from this paper in Chinese. | Chromosome effects | +ve study quality cannot be determined | Xing <i>et al</i> . 1988 |
| Mouse, males, numbers unclear | Intraperitoneal administration of 0, 232, 464 or 928 mg/kg bw, sperm examined for morphological defects. Further details of the study are difficult to deduce from this paper in Chinese | Germ cell effects | +ve study quality cannot be determined | Xing <i>et al</i> . 1988 |

| Mouse | Germ cells of treated mice were | Chromosome | +ve | Tian et al. |
|---------|-------------------------------------|------------|------------|-------------|
| (males) | examined for micronuclei | damage | | 1992 |
| | induction. | | study | |
| | | | quality | |
| | No further details were given in | | cannot be | |
| | the citation, the original paper is | | determined | |
| | in Chinese. | | | |
| | | | | |

In vitro

| Test system | Test conditions | Endpoint | Activation | Result | References |
|---|--|------------------------------|---------------------|--|-----------------------------|
| Salmonella typhimurium Strains TA97, TA98, TA100, TA102 | Tested at four concentrations (0.5-4 mg/plate. | Mutation | With and without S9 | +ve | Xing <i>et al.</i> 1988 |
| | Further details of the study are difficult to deduce from this paper in Chinese. | | | | |
| Salmonella typhimurium strains TA98 and TA100 | Ames test, at up to 10 mg/plate | Mutation | With and without S9 | +ve | Hiramoto <i>et</i> al. 1996 |
| Bacillus subtilis H17(rec+), M45(rec-) | Tested at five concentrations (20-120 µg/plate in a rec assay (measuring differential strain killing). | DNA damage (indicative test) | Without | +ve study quality cannot be determined | Xing <i>et al</i> . 1988 |
| | study are difficult to deduce from this paper in Chinese. | | | | |
| Supercoiled plasmid pBR 322 DNA | Incubated overnight at 2.6-780 uM, and DNA examined for strand breaks | DNA damage | Without | +ve | Hiramoto <i>et</i> al. 1996 |

| DNA fragments from the human p53 tumour | DNA incubated with the test compound, either without added metal ions or co- incubated with | DNA damage | Without | -ve (without added metal ions) | Yamashita <i>et</i> al. 1998 |
|---|---|---------------|---------|---|------------------------------|
| suppressor gene | copper II or iron III ions. | | | +ve (extensive with | |
| | | | | copper, weaker with ferric ions) | |

References

Hiramoto K *et al* (1996). DNA strand break by 2,5-dimethyl-4-hydroxy-3(2H)-furanone, a fragrant compound in various foodstuffs. Mutation Research, 359 (1), 17-24.

Hiramoto K *et al* (1998). Absorption and induction of micronucleated peripheral reticulocytes in mice after oral administration of fragrant hydroxyfuranones generated in the Maillard reaction. Mutation Research, <u>415</u> (1-2), 79-83.

Tian Q et al (1992). Genotoxic study of furaneol on mice germ cells. Weishing Dulixue Zazhi, <u>6</u>, 26-28 (cited in Hiramoto et al. 1996).

Xing B *et al* (1988). Mutagenic studies on HDMF. Chung-Hua Yu Fang I Hsueh Tsa Chih (Chinese J Prevent. Med.), 22 (2), 85-87.

Yamashita N *et al* (1998). Superoxide formation and DNA damage induced by a fragrant furanone in the presence of copper(II). Mutation Research, <u>397</u> (2), 191-201.