Botanical Source Theobroma cacao

Synonyms

IUPAC Name

CAS Reference 84649-99-0

E Number

Food Legislation

Council of Europe (CoE)		
Number	Comment	
452	Listed by the Council of Europe as acceptable for use in food.	

US Food and Drug Administration		
Number	er Comment	
182.20	Approved by the US FDA. FDA 21 CFR 182.20	

Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives (JECFA)					
Number ADI Comment					
- -					

FEMA		
FEMA No.	Comment	
	Generally recognised as safe as a flavour ingredient:GRAS List Number 3	

Natural Occurrence and Use in Food

Found in cocoa, cocoa shells; used in baked goods.

Estimated Intake from Food and Drink		
Daily Intake mg/kg/day FEMA Possible Average Daily Intake mg		
-	-	

Tobacco Product Related Chemical and Biological Studies for Ingredients Added in a Mixture

Smoke Chemistry			
Published Source Level Tested % Comment		Comment	
BAT	2.00000	At maximum application level this ingredient is not associated with significant increases in levels of Hoffmann analytes in smoke.	
Philip Morris	0.07720	An overall assessment of the data suggests that this ingredient did not add to the toxicity of smoke.	

Ames Activity			
Published Source Level Tested %		Comment	
ВАТ	2.00000	Within the sensitivity and specificity of the system the Ames activity of the cigarette smoke condensate was not increased by the addition of the ingredient.	
Philip Morris	0.07720	Within the sensitivity and specificity of the system the Ames activity of the cigarette smoke was not increased by the addition of the ingredient.	

Micronucleus			
Published Source	Level Tested %	Comment	
ВАТ	2.00000	Within the sensitivity of the in vitro micronucleus assay the activity of the cigarette smoke condensate was not increased by the addition of the ingredient.	

Neutral Red			
Published Source Level Tested %		Comment	
ВАТ	2.00000	Within the sensitivity of the test system the in vitro cytotoxicity of the cigarette smoke condensate was not increased by the addition of the ingredient.	
Philip Morris	0.0772	Within the sensitivity of the test system the in vitro cytotoxicity of the cigarette smoke was not increased by the addition of the ingredient.	

Inhalation			
Published Source Level Tested %		Comment	
BAT	2.00000	The results indicate that the addition of the ingredient had no discernible effect on the inhalation toxicity of mainstream smoke.	
Lorillard	0.00020	The results indicate that the addition of the ingredient had no discernible effect on the inhalation toxicity of mainstream smoke.	
Philip Morris	0.07720	The data indicate that the addition of the ingredient, when added with one of three groups, did not increase the inhalation toxicity of the smoke.	

Mouse Skin Painting			
Published Source Level Tested % Comment			
Lorillard	4.40000	None of the changes appeared to be substantial enough to conclude that the tumour promotion capacity of the condensate was discernibly different between condensate produced from cigarettes with the ingredient in comparison with condensate from cigarettes without the ingredient.	

References

Baker RR, Pereira da Silva JR, Smith G. The effect of tobacco ingredients on smoke chemistry. Part I: Flavourings and additives. Food Chem Toxicol. 2004; 42 Suppl:S3-37.

Baker RR, Pereira da Silva JR, Smith G. The effect of tobacco ingredients on smoke chemistry. Part II: casing ingredients. Food Chem Toxicol. 2004; 42 Suppl:S39-52.

Baker RR, Massey ED, Smith G. An overview of the effects of tobacco ingredients on smoke chemistry and toxicity. Food Chem Toxicol. 2004; 42 Suppl:S53-83.

Carmines EL. Evaluation of the potential effects of ingredients added to cigarettes. Part 1: cigarette design, testing approach, and review of results. Food Chem Toxicol. 2002; 40(1): 77-91.

Rustemeier K, Stabbert R, Haussmann HJ, Roemer E, Carmines EL. Evaluation of the potential effects of ingredients added to cigarettes. Part 2: chemical composition of mainstream smoke. Food Chem Toxicol. 2002; 40(1): 93-104.

Roemer E, Tewes FJ, Meisgen TJ, Veltel DJ, Carmines EL. Evaluation of the potential effects of ingredients added to cigarettes. Part 3: in vitro genotoxicity and cytotoxicity. Food Chem Toxicol. 2002; 40(1): 105-111.

Vanscheeuwijck PM, Teredesai A, Terpstra PM, Verbeeck J, Kuhl P, Gerstenberg B, Gebel S, Carmines EL. Evaluation of the potential effects of ingredients added to cigarettes. Part 4: subchronic inhalation toxicity. Food Chem Toxicol. 2002; 40(1): 113-131.

Gaworski CL, Dozier MM, Heck JD, Gerhart JM, Rajendran N, David RM. Brennecke LH, Morrissey R. Toxicologic evaluation of flavor ingredients added to cigarette tobacco: 13 week inhalation exposures in rats. Inhal. Toxicol. 1998; 10:357-381

Gaworski CL, Heck JD, Bennett MB, Wenk ML. Toxicologic evaluation of flavor ingredients added to cigarette tobacco: skin painting bioassay of cigarette smoke condensate in SENCAR mice. Toxicology. 1999; 139(1-2):1-17.

Tobacco Product Related Chemical and Biological Studies for Ingredients Tested Singly

References

Baker RR, Bishop LJ. The pyrolysis of non-volatile tobacco ingredients using a system that simulates cigarette combustion conditions. J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis 2005, 74, 145-170.

Toxicological Data on the Unburnt Ingredient

[+ve, positive; -ve, negative; ?, equivocal; with, with metabolic activation; without, without metabolic activation]

In vivo

Species	Test conditions	Endpoint	Results	Reference
Chinese	Text is	Chromosome damage	-ve	Renner and
hamsters,	ambiguous,			Muenzner, 1982
6 treated	animals were			
and 6	either given 0.2 g			
controls	cocoa or 0.6 g			
	roasted cocoa (by			
	gavage dispersed			
	in water). The higher dose			
	would have been			
	approximately 5			
	g/kg bw. Bone			
	marrow cells			
	were examined			
	for micronuclei			
	27, 28.5 or 30 hr			
	later.			
at :		GI I		
Chinese	Text is	Chromosome damage	-ve	Renner and
hamsters, 6 treated	ambiguous,			Muenzner, 1982
and 6	animals were either given 0.2 g			
controls	cocoa or 0.6 g			
Controls	roasted cocoa (by			
	gavage dispersed			
	in water). The			
	higher dose			
	would have been			
	approximately 5			
	g/kg bw. Bone			
	marrow cells			
	examined for			
	chromosome			
	aberrations 24 hr			
	later.			

Chinese	Unroasted and	Chromosome effects	+ve	Renner and
hamsters,	roasted cocoa			Muenzner, 1982
4 per	powder were		a weak	
group	given (by gavage		increase (less	
	in water) at 0.1		than 2-fold)	
	or 0.2 g (as a		was seen at	
	single dose), at		the highest	
	0.4 g (as two		two dose	
	doses 1.5 hr		levels	
	apart) or at 0.6 g		following	
	(as three doses		gavage	
	1.5 hr apart). The			
	highest dose was			
	equal to about 5			
	g/kg bw. Bone			
	marrow cells			
	were assessed for			
	sister chromatid			
	exchanges			
	(SCEs), about 26			
	hr after the last			
	dose.		-ve	
	Cocoa powder		(SCE values	
	was also given at		were slightly	
	20% in the diet		elevated after	
	[possibly for 3		dietary dosing	
	days, though this		but the	
	is not clear] and		increase did	
	bone marrow		not reach the	
	cells screened for		1.5-fold	
	SCEs.		criterion)	
			,	

In vitro

Test system	Test conditions	Endpoint	Activation status	Results	Reference
Chinese hamster ovary cells	Cocoa powder incubated at up to 1 mg/ml for 12 hr, cells examined for chromosome aberrations.	Chromosome damage	With and without S9	-ve	Brusick et al. 1986
Chinese hamster	Cocoa powder	Chromosome	With and	-ve	Brusick et

ovary cells	incubated at up to 1.25 mg/ml for 27 hr, cells examined for SCEs	effects	without S9		al. 1986
Human lymphocytes	Cocoa powder incubated at up to 1.25 mg/ml for 45 hr, cells examined for SCEs.	Chromosome effects	Not stated	-ve	Brusick et al. 1986
Mouse lymphoma cells	Cocoa powder incubated at up to 6 mg/ml for 4 hr.	Mutation	With and without S9	-ve	Brusick et al. 1986
Salmonella typhimurium strains TA98, TA100, TA1535, TA1537 and TA1538	Ames test, cocoa powder tested at up to 5 mg/plate.	Mutation	With and without S9	-ve	Brusick et al. 1986
Salmonella typhimurium strains TA98, TA100, TA1537 and TA1538	Ames test on fat- free cocoa powder, tested at up to 5 mg/plate.	Mutation	With and without S9	-ve	Renner and Muenzner, 1982
Mouse Balb/C- 3T3 cells	Cocoa powder tested at up to 0.25 mg/ml.	Cell transformation	Apparently without	-ve	Brusick et al. 1986

References

Brusick D et al. (1986). Genotoxicity of cocoa in a series of short-term assays. Mutation Research 169, 115-121.

Renner H W and Muenzner R (1982). Genotoxicity of cocoa examined by microbial and mammalian systems. Mutation Research 103, 275-282.